

Four Ways to Increase Employee Retirement Contributions

Michael Viljak, Manager, Advisor Development



As a retirement plan sponsor, you want your employees to save the most they can in order to reach their maximum retirement potential. A significant amount of research says that you can improve both employee participation and their saving rates. Here are four ways you can help your employees start building a confident retirement:

1. **Boost employee participation with automatic enrollment.** Choosing to automatically enroll all new employees in your retirement plan can dramatically improve your participation rates. According to the Center for Retirement Research (CRR) at Boston College, in one study of automatic enrollment, participation increased by 50 percent, with the largest gains among younger and lower-paid employees.¹ While auto enrolled employees are allowed to opt out of the retirement plan, most generally stay enrolled.
2. **Set the initial default contribution rate higher.** Many companies who use auto enrollment set their default contribution rate relatively low at 3 percent, according to the CRR, which is lower than the typical employer match rate of 6 percent. Workers who might have contributed more to their savings passively accept the lower default rate, which means they're sacrificing employer matching funds along with saving less of their own pay.
3. **Adopt auto escalation.** Plans that use auto escalation automatically increase their participants' contribution rate every year, typically by 1 percent. Over time, that can significantly improve savings rates among workers. The

CRR cites a 2013 study of Danish workers where the majority of workers who experienced automatic increases simply accepted them, and savings rates dramatically increased.

4. **Automate investment decisions with target date investment products.** Investing is complicated, and many employees don't want to take the time to learn how to manage their portfolios. Target date strategies automatically adjust an employee's investment allocations over time, shifting them to a more conservative asset mix as the target date (typically retirement) approaches. The ease of use of target date funds means their popularity is increasing. The CRR notes that in 2014, nearly 20 percent of all 401(k) assets were in target date funds, and about half of plan participants used target date funds.²

¹http://crr.bc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/IB_16-15.pdf

²http://crr.bc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/IB_17-2.pdf

About the Author, Michael Viljak

Michael joined RPAG in 2002 and has over 30 years of experience in the retirement plan industry, on both the wholesale and retail levels, focusing on retirement plans ever since their inception in 1981. Michael has an interest in fiduciary-related topics and was part of the team that created RPAG's proprietary Fiduciary Fitness Program. He also authors many of the firm's newsletter articles, communication pieces and training modules.

How Many Investment Options Should You Offer?

Many plan sponsors struggle with deciding how many investment options to offer in their retirement plans. While people generally like to have lots of options when making other decisions, having too many plan options can potentially lead to poor investment decisions by plan participants. In addition, increasing plan options can also increase plan costs, as well as the administrative paperwork associated with the plan.

In a study on retirement plan options, researchers concluded that it is possible to present plan participants with too many options.¹ The researchers began by offering people selections of jams and chocolates. Some were offered a wide variety, while others received less choices. The wide variety of jams attracted more attention from people, but more people purchased jams when offered limited choices. When sampling chocolates, people enjoyed choosing from the larger selection more, but also were more dissatisfied with the choices. Those who sampled from a smaller selection were more satisfied and more likely to buy chocolates again. In other words, as the number of options increased, people became more concerned by the possibility of making the "wrong" choice—they became uncertain that they had made the best choice possible.

¹http://www.columbia.edu/~ss957/articles/How_Much_Choice_Is_Too_Much.pdf

Chocolates and jams aren't very big decisions, but the researchers found that these same behaviors carried over to retirement plans. They examined participation rates for 647 plans offered by the Vanguard Group, a large investment management company, covering more than 900,000 participants. They found that as plans increased the number of options they offered, employee participation decreased. In fact, for every 10 options added to the plan, participation dropped by 1.5-2 percent. Plans offering fewer than 10 options had significantly higher employee participation rates.

In addition, more plan options can increase costs both for participants, in the form of fees, and for plan sponsors, who may face additional administrative charges from third party administrators for additional options. Further, auditing and other costs may increase, since the number of options could increase the time necessary to conduct audits.

It's important to balance choice overload against the requirements of ERISA Section 404(c) which requires plan sponsors to have at least three diversified investment options with different risk and return characteristics.

Hey Joel!

Hey Joel! – Answers from a re-covering former practicing ERISA attorney

Welcome to *Hey Joel!* This forum answers plan sponsor questions from all over the country by a former practicing ERISA attorney.

Hey Joel,

What's the appropriate number of members and positions for a retirement plan committee?

- Plannin' in Pennsylvania

Dear Plannin',

There is no specific guidance on the appropriate number of committee members. It's important to have committee members who can contribute to the topics to be focused on. When there is one committee, as opposed to separate committees (for investments, plan design, employee communications, etc.) perhaps a CEO and/or CFO, an administrative executive and a participant communications representative would be appropriate committee members.

Ideally, these would be people who want to be on the committee to make a contribution to plan success and who are willing to accept fiduciary responsibilities, not the least of which is personal financial liability in event of a fiduciary breach. (Our Fiduciary Fitness Program (FFP) is designed to substantially mitigate this liability if followed appropriately.)

You can also have, as a regular or a non-voting member (guest with no intent as fiduciary), someone who can represent an employee base.

Most importantly, when setting up a committee, is to determine who the "named fiduciary" for the plan is.



The named fiduciary will be identified in the plan document and this person or entity is the primary fiduciary for the plan. Note, if the named fiduciary is listed as "the Company" this is interpreted to mean the board of directors (if a C corporation or managing partners, if a partnership). The named fiduciary is expected to be the entity who has the authority to decide to have a retirement plan. The named fiduciary can delegate the majority of their fiduciary duties to co-fiduciaries (e.g., a retirement plan steering committee). Typically, they would be anxious to do so as they likely would not want to be responsible for day-to-day management of the plan. The Committee Charter and ancillary paperwork (in our FFP) is designed expressly for this purpose.

The Committee Planner Extraordinaire,
Joel Shapiro

About the Author, Joel Shapiro, JD, LL.M

As a former practicing ERISA attorney Joel works to ensure that plan sponsors stay fully informed on all legislative and regulatory matters. Joel earned his Bachelor of Arts from Tufts University and his Juris Doctor from Washington College of Law at the American University.

This material was created to provide accurate and reliable information on the subjects covered but should not be regarded as a complete analysis of these subjects. It is not intended to provide specific legal, tax or other professional advice. The services of an appropriate professional should be sought regarding your individual situation.

To remove yourself from this list, or to add a colleague, please email your advisor, Beltz Ianni & Associates, LLC at kim.dimaria@beltz-ianni.com or call (585) 340-5205.

Securities and advisory services offered through LPL Financial, a registered investment advisor, Member FINRA/SIPC. Beltz-Ianni & Associates, LLC and LPL Financial are not affiliated with RPAG.

ACR#316345 05/19

A Proud Member of

